

# Ba, Ac Fa, e C, dea, d, Ae D b, : De el, aO, e PaaRe?

# Yin Wu<sup>1,2</sup>, Jie Hu<sup>2</sup>, Eric van Dijk<sup>3,4</sup>, Marijke C. Leliveld<sup>5</sup>, Xiaolin Zhou<sup>2,6</sup>\*

1 Key Laboratory of Child Development and Learning Science, Ministry of Education, Southeast University, Nanjing, China, 2 Center for Brain and Cognitive Sciences and Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, China, 3 Department of Social and Organizational Psychology, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands, 4 Leiden Institute for Brain and Cognition, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands, 5 Department of Marketing, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands, 6 Key Laboratory of Machine Perception, Ministry of Education, Peking University, Beijing, China

#### **Abstract**

Previous behavioral studies have shown that initial ownership influences individuals' fairness consideration and other-regarding behavior. However, it is not entirely clear whether initial ownership influences the brain activity when a recipient evaluates the fairness of asset distribution. In this study, we randomly assigned the bargaining property (monetary reward) to either the allocator or the recipient in the ultimatum game and let participants of the study, acting as recipients, receive either disadvantageous unequal, equal, or advantageous unequal offers from allocators while the event-related potentials (ERPs) were recorded. Behavioral results showed that participants were more likely to reject disadvantageous unequal and equal offers when they initially owned the property as compared to when they did not. The two types of unequal offers evoked more negative going ERPs (the MFN) than the equal offers in an early time window and the differences were not modulated by the initial ownership. In a late time window, however, the P300 responses to division schemes were affected not only by the type of unequal offers but also by whom the property was initially assigned to. These findings suggest that while the MFN may function as a general mechanism that evaluates whether the offer is consistent or inconsistent with the equity rule, the P300 is sensitive to top-down controlled processes, into which factors related to the allocation of attentional resources, including initial ownership and personal interests, come to play.

Citation: Wu Y, Hu J, van Dijk E, Leliveld MC, Zhou X (2012) Brain Activity in Fairness Consideration during Asset Distribution: Does the Initial Ownership Play a Role? PLoS ONE 7(6): e39627. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039627

Editor: Alessandro Antonietti, Catholic University of Sacro Cuore, Italy

Received February 5, 2012; Accepted May 23, 2012; Published June 26, 2012

**Copyright:** © 2012 Wu et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Funding:** This study was supported by National Basic Research Program (973 Program: 2010CB833904) and National Science and Technology Pillar Program (2009BAI77B04) of China and by a grant from Natural Science Foundation of China (30110972). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

\* E-mail: xz104@pku.edu.cn

#### Introduction

, , a b a aab, a. a mere ownership effect [1,2]. T c cc .. ac a  $\ldots , \ldots , \ldots a_{n-1}$ a . ca cab, , a, c a b c b a.ca [1].  $R \ c$  , , b a [6] a a, Sar, [4,5,6]. O. b a ca, (DG; [7])acc , . T (ac.a, a b  $\boldsymbol{a}$  .  $\boldsymbol{R}$  . a "a ca [8]. U., a. a. a ,L a . [4] perception a c. a ca , a (UG). T a , a a. [9], . . DG b

a . I acc a ca , b a. [4] ab a ca ab R a a ca a , b a - , a c , c . , C Т С entitlement. E. : b ca . I , I a a . . ab a ca С. . I b c a b a c cba, a, b c . b a a,  $a_{\,\text{\tiny A}}$  , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , a ca b

]TJ9.8-4(\$ )-309.

(a -576.0)-359 56(, 8)7(, 568)6(, )5(, (, 565(8(, 562(, , a)-5171-7.


# Results

#### Manipulation Checks of Initial Ownership

T .-. . , , , a . , ca a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c , a a . , c a . , a c a . , a c a . , a c . , a c . , a c . . A 2 ( ca . , a . , a . ) ×

## **Behavioral Results**

ac...(,c,,,,,a,,,...)a a a ...,...a ...
T ..., a MFN c, ..., a ...,
...a a, a ..., a ..., a ...,



**Figure 3. ERP responses and topographic maps.** (**A**) ERP responses time-locked to the onset of different offers at the midline FCz, Cz and Pz. The shaded 280–380 ms time window was for the calculation of the mean amplitudes of the MFN. The shaded 400–600 ms time window was for the calculation of the mean amplitudes of the P300. (**B**) Topographic maps for the MFN effects in the 280–380 ms time window. (**C**) Topographic maps for the P300 effects in the 400–600 ms time window. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0039627.g003

a, ca, ac , , , a a ba, ac , c a a , . , . , a ac .

 $\mathbf{a}$  ,.  $\mathbf{p}$  ,  $\mathbf{p}$  ,  $\mathbf{p}$  ,  $\mathbf{p}$ a , a /a c a a , [13,64]. Acc . , , , 

ca. a....

#### References

- с. Р. с Ma 16: 21 34.

- 6. O b RJ, S (a), J (2008) M, a, ...: P. ... ca ... ca ... J Ec. B a O, a 65: 703 713.
- $a \quad c \quad a \ , \ \ldots \colon E \quad \ \ , \quad a \quad , \quad \ldots \not \ a \quad , \ldots$
- J Ec , B a O , a 65: 703 713.

  7. Ka , a D, K , c JL, T a , RH (1986) Fa , ... a , a , ... c , c , J B , 59: 285 300.

  8. F BS, B , I (1995) I , ... a c a , ... : E , a , ... a , ... J I , T , ca Ec , 151: 286 303.

  9. G W, Sc b , R, Sc a B (1982) A , a a a , ... a , ba , a , ... J Ec , B a O , a 3: 367 388.

  10. G , WJ, W , b AR (2002) T , a , a c , a , a , a , ... ... Sc , c 295: 2279 2282.

- c , a, h , c , c a.
- c...ca, a P300 a, , a , C , C . . . . . .

I, a, b a, r, r, a bara, r  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{ca}$   $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{c}$   $\mathbf{c}$  ,  $\mathbf{a}$   $\mathbf{c}$  ,  $\mathbf{c}$  ,  $\mathbf{c}$   $\mathbf{c}$  , , b , b a, b a, a , a a , a a , a MFN a , c , a a, , , a , c a, , a a a . a, a, c

## Acknowledgments

 $W = (a_s - P_s) \cdot A(b_s) \cdot c = (I_s) \cdot (M_s \cdot S) \cdot (I_s) \cdot (P_s) \cdot ($ Ma.a a a a c a c a c a a c a a c a c a a c a c a a c a

# Author Contributions

C , c a ..., ... : YW JH ML XZ. P ... a a: YW JH. W a a :: YW JH EVD ML XZ.

- 16. I a a S, Ka a a a J (2008) S a c a a a a a c c b ... N ... 19: 383 387.
- 17. Ka, SK, H., JB, C a , AL (2010) Y , a , a , a , ; S , a , c , , a , . , b , . , . , . JE S c P, c 46: 229

- 20. Ma c -Pa a . J, K a . UM, S . S, Sc . . A, M . TF (2010) W . c . . . . a . . : a . c . . . ca a a . . . BMC N . . . c 11: 86–93.
- 11: 86 93. 21. L P, J a S, F . · T, L Q, S T, a. (2010) T . . . c b c . . c a a a . . : E c . . . . ca a ERP. . N I a 52: 1727 1733.
- 136 143.

- a , , , c , , , a , , , , c a , , a ; A ; ERP , , B , P , c , . 28. W , Y, Z , Y, a, D , E, L , MC, Z , X (2011) Sc a c , a , . .

- 33. Fa A, F , E, F, c bac , U (2003) O, , a , . . . a , b a , . . Ec ,
- Ig 41: 20 26. 34. G , B, a B. W, R b . SARB, C . EA (2010) U. a ? I ., .. , .. , .. , .. , .. , .. , .. SAKB, C . EA (2010) U, a ? I . , .. ; N . a c ... a . ... a , ... , ... ca c , ... S c C ... A c N ... c 5: 414–423. с 5: 414 423.
- 36. Sa A, Ya a A, O a H, M a a K, N. a a M, a . (2005) E c .
- 37. Ha ca  $\,$  G, H  $\,$  CB, M  $\,$  JS, S  $\,$  RF (2005) B a  $\,$  a  $\,$  c  $\,$  a  $\,$  ba  $\,$  c  $\,$  . P. c 161 170.
- 101 170.

  38. Ha ca G, M . JS, H . CB, S . RF (2007) I'. a

  , : T bac , a a, a , a c .
  , a b , a . P. c . 44: 905 912.

  39. W Y, Z X (2009) T P300 a, a a a, c, a, a, c, a, c, a, B a, R . 1286: 114 122.

  40. Y , N, H . CB, C . JD (2005) EPP c a bac a a

  c . , c a a a c . c a a b c . . c c, C b C . 15:
- ., . . . , c a ab , c . . , . c c . C . b C . . 15:

- 41. T . DJ, a, B .. K, B b, .. JL, T .. a AS, K ..., O, a . (2011) W .. "I " B c ... "M .. ": A ..., a B a .. T ... .. b Ob c O ... .. J C ... N ... c 23: 3725 3733.
- 42. S ... c HV, A, ... P, Sc ... P, P ... c O (1986) A. caaac, a P300 ERP. P. c 23: 695 703.
- 43. B ., a JK, B ., EM (1994) A. ca , a a . c , ca . ca . . ca . . . . . . . . . J P. c . 128: 365-380.

- R . 36: 434 447.
- 791.
- , K (2000) Fa . , . . . . . . . . a . , . . 48. N a MA, Pa KM, S
- a a Sc c 289: 1773 1775. E, GaC S (2002) A c a Na 415: 137 140.
- 50. F . E, F. c bac . U ( H B a 25: 63 87. U (2004) T . - a , . . , a, . ca , . . . E

- 160 173.

  62. Ga HM, A ba N, L a WT, D P (2004) P300 a a a JE S c P c 40: 216 224.

  63. L DEJ (2005) T P300: W ba. ca a a P c Sc P c JD (2005) D c a , P3, a a C c c a a C P c B 131: 510.
- a . P. c Sc 19: 1280 1286.